



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR      | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.  |  |
|-------------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| 09/813,386        | 03/20/2001       | Christopher Richard Uhlik | 15685P093           | 3491              |  |
| 45222 75          | 590 05/09/2006   |                           | EXAM                | EXAMINER          |  |
| ARRAYCOMM/BLAKELY |                  |                           | MEHRPOUR,           | MEHRPOUR, NAGHMEH |  |
| 12400 WILSHI      | RE BLVD          |                           |                     |                   |  |
| SEVENTH FLO       | OOR              |                           | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER      |  |
| LOS ANGELE        | S, CA 90025-1030 |                           | 2617                |                   |  |

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |                                       |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| Advisory Action  | 09/813,386  | UHLIK ET AL.  |                                       |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |                                       |
|  |   |   |                                       |
|  | Naghmeh Mehrpour  | 2686  |                                       |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  |   | •   | ess                                   |
| THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI   |   |   |                                       |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> </ol>              | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl                            | ffidavit, or other evide<br>compliance with 37 C            | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or            |
| b) The period for reply expires <u>5 months</u> from the mailing date of this Adv  |   | e final rejection, whichever                                | ris later in no                       |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)  | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI   | f the final rejection.                                      |                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL | which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a<br>and the corresponding amount of the fee.<br>atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) a     | n fee under 37<br>as set forth in (b) |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I   | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))   | ), to avoid dismissal of                                    | f the appeal.                         |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>  | •   | •   | ,                                     |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be  appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))                             | onsideration and/or search (see NO<br>ow);<br>tter form for appeal by materially re<br>corresponding number of finally re             | TE below); educing or simplifying jected claims.            | the issues for                        |
| <ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>   |   | ompliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324).                           |
| <ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>  |   | , timely filed amendme                                      | ent canceling                         |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-25.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   | ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wovided below or appended.   | rill be entered and an e                                    | explanation of                        |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |   |                                       |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | ut before or on the date of filing a N<br>nd sufficient reasons why the affida  | Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>vit or other evidence is | ot be entered s necessary             |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>ry and was not earlier presented. S  | al and/or appellant fail<br>See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1           | ls to provide a 1).                   |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |   |   |                                       |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by please see the attachment.  | •   |   | nce because:                          |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>  | (P1O/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper   | No(s).  |                                       |
| 13. [] Oulet   |   | And Andrew  | <u></u>                               |

Application/Control Number: 09/813,386

Art Unit: 2686

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 03/13/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the applicant's argument that "the wireless communication session having associated therewith a first session time limit the communication device detecting a session renewal and the communication device altering the first session time limit in response to detecting the session renewal".

The Examiner asserts that Petrus teaches periodically, the user terminal scans the BCH to update its RSSI and BSCC map. When it detects a better base station, it may send a CR to this new base station and possibly handover its network session (detecting a session renewal and the communication device). If successful stream initiation fails too many times, the user terminal enters a timeout state. From timeout, it may try to regain a RID via RA-req, refresh its timing advance (altering the first session time limit in response to detecting the session renewal) using a CR, find a new base station to which it might handover by scanning the BCH, or even begin from scratch to re-acquire basic frame timing. If this re-establishment is successful, the user terminal may be able to continue its network session by completing a network session handover to the new base station.

Application/Control Number: 09/813,386

Art Unit: 2686

In response Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

The applicant's responded merely stated whole claim limitations. The applicant's respond fails to clearly show which limitations in the claim are not disclosed by the references (the applicant's stating arguments only on the invention but not specific limitations of claims that references was not taught); therefore, examiner directly repeats the application to the rejection above regarding claims are rejected. Applicant should submit an argument under the heading "Remarks" pointing out disagreements with the examiner's contentions. Applicant must also discuss the references applied against the claims, explaining how the claims avoid the references or distinguish from them.

### Conclusion

### 2. Any responses to this action should be mailed to:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naghmeh Mehrpour whose telephone number is 571-272-7913. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold be reached (571) 272-7905.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/813,386

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2686

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

NM

April 17, 2006